UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/561,493	11/03/2005	Markus Bilger	2002P11311WOUS	1635	
28204 SIEMENS SCH	7590 08/08/200 IWEIZ AG	EXAMINER			
I-47, INTELLE	ECTUAL PROPERTY	FERGUSON SAMRETH, MARISSA LIANA			
ALBISRIEDER ZURICH, CH-8		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SWITZERLAN		2854			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			08/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Арр	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/	561,493		BILGER ET AL.			
		Exa	miner		Art Unit			
			RISSA L. FEI MRETH	RGUSON-	2854			
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commu	nication appears	on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD F LONGER, FROM THE May be available under the provision s from the mailing date of this com is specified above, the maximum s the set or extended period for repl to the Office later than three months djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE (s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I munication. tatutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS CO In no event, howe y and will expire the application to	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status								
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>29 June 2</u>	006.					
2a) ☐ This action		2b)⊠ This actio		al.				
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clair	ns							
4a) Of the a 5) Claim(s) _ 6) Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7) Claim(s) _	 9 is/are pending in the aabove claim(s) is/a is/a is/are allowed. 9 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restri 	are withdrawn fro						
Application Papers								
9)∏ The specific	cation is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
.—	g(s) filed on <u>29 <i>June 200</i></u>		•	•—	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
	nt drawing sneet(s) including the declaration is objected the	=						
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119							
a)⊠ All b)□ 1.□ Cert 2.□ Cert 3.⊠ Cop appl	gment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: ified copies of the priority ified copies of the priority ies of the certified copies ication from the Internation	documents hav documents hav of the priority do onal Bureau (PC	e been rece e been rece ocuments ha T Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application ave been receive (a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment/e)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Reference	es Cited (PTO-892)		4)	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsper	son's Patent Drawing Review (ure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pother:	ate			

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 and 5-9 of copending Application No. 11/484,279. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the claimed elements in claims 1-9 of the present application is also claimed in application 11/484,279.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2854

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "an axle", in claims 1, 6 and 7, "wherein the printer paper is kept in stack form in the printer" in claim 3, "wherein the paper supply comprises individual sheets" in claim 4 and "means for running the printing paper lead-through through the axle of the hinge" in claim 6, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Art Unit: 2854

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Specifically, in claim 2, it is not clear as to what "means" applicant is referring to. Also, the specification does not appear to support the "means" language as recited in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Regarding claim 1, it is not clear how the invention works. The axle is not in the drawings, therefore it is not known how the flap rotates. The flap does not look like a flap; however it looks like a ring.

Art Unit: 2854

Specifically, the invention is completely unclear, therefore prior art can not be applied.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARISSA L. FERGUSON-SAMRETH whose telephone number is (571)272-2163. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-T) 6:30am-4:00pm and every other (F) 7:30am-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Judy Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2854 MARISSA FERGUSON-SAMRETH Examiner Art Unit 2854

MFS